Theodore Golosov

National Research University Higher School of Economics, Moscow

Attenuative Hopping in Hill Mari complex predicates

In Hill Mari, there exist so-called complex predicates [Bradley 2016] — constructions that consist of two verbal forms: a converb, which denotes a situation (lexical verb), and a grammaticalized finite form, which somehow modifies the situation described by the converb (light verb). You can see the opposition between the combination of two lexical verbs (1) and a complex predicate (2):

```
(1) pi opt-alal-ôn ke-š
dog bark-ATT-CVB go-AOR[3SG]
'The dog went having barked'.
```

```
(2) tödö amal-ôn ke-š
that sleep-CVB go-AOR[3SG]
'He fell asleep'.
*'He went sleeping'.
```

There is a large set of light verbs grammaticalized in Hill Mari [ibid]. In my research, I will discuss complex predicates formed with light verbs *keäš* 'to go', *koltaš* 'to send', *šuaš* 'to throw' and *šöndäš* 'to put'. These light verbs share the same grammatical function — telicization, i.e. they introduce (3) or specify (4) a telic interpretation of a lexical verb:

```
(3) a. pört väc minut/*minut-âstâ jâl-en house 5 minute/minute-ILL burn-PRF[3SG] 'The house burned for/*in 5 minutes'.
```

```
b. pört väc *minut/minut-âstâ jâl-en ke-š
house 5 minute/minute-ILL burn-CVB go-AOR[3SG]

'The house burned in/*for 5 minutes'.
```

```
(4) a. tödö
                                         kušk-en
                väc
                        i/i-štä
                                         grow.up-PRF[3SG]
        that
                        year/year-ILL
        'He grew up for/in 5 years'.
                         *i/i-štä
                                         kušk-en
                                                                  ke-š
    b. tädä
                väc
                                                                  go-AOR[3SG]
        that
                5
                        year/year-ILL
                                         grow.up-PRF[3SG]
        'He grew up in/*for 5 years'.
```

In addition, Hill Mari has a set of attenuative markers. According to [Makarchuk 2018], Attenuative denotes 'entering the state for a short time' in combination with telic predicates and 'a shorter situation' in combination with atelic predicates. For example, atelic verb *šôlaš* 'to melt' (5) forms an attenuative verb *šôlalalaš* 'to melt a bit' (6):

š*âl-en*

```
ice 5 hour hour-ILL melt-PRF[3SG]
'The ice melted for/*in 5 hours'.

(6) i šôl-alal'-ô
ice melt-ATT-AOR[3SG]
1. 'The ice melted a bit (but didn't become water)'.
2. *'The ice melted (becoming water) for a short time'.
```

*cäš-äštä

cäš/

(5) *i väc*

At the same time, light verb $ke\ddot{a}\dot{s}$ 'to go' in combination with lexical verb $\dot{s}\hat{\partial}la\dot{s}$ 'to melt' forms a complex predicate with telic interpretation exceptionally:

```
(7) i v\ddot{\partial}c *c\ddot{a}\dot{s}/ *c\ddot{a}\dot{s}-\ddot{\partial}\dot{s}t\ddot{\partial} *s\hat{\partial}l-en ke-s ice 5 hour hour-ILL melt-CVB go-AOR[3SG]
```

'The ice melted (becoming water)'.

The key phenomenon I will discuss in my presentation is so-called Attenuative Hopping. Although attenuative suffix is located on a converb in complex predicates, semantically, it behaves as if it was located on the telicizing light verb: it always denotes 'entering the state for a short time' in such constructions, even if the lexical verb is atelic, as in (8):

```
(8) i šôl-alal-Ø ke-š
ice melt-ATT-CVB go-AOR[3SG]
1. %? 'The ice melted a bit (but didn't become water)'. (TEL>ATT)
2. 'The ice melted (becoming water) for a short time'. (ATT>TEL)
```

Wherein attenuative marking on the light verb is prohibited:

```
(9) *i šôl-en ke-ldal'-ô ice melt-CVB go-ATT-AOR[3SG]
Expected: 'The ice melted (becoming water) for a short time'.
```

Thus, the behavior of Attenuative in Hill Mari violates the Mirror Principle [Baker 1985]: being nearer to the verbal root than the light verb, attenuative marker enters in the semantic derivation after the telicizer, as we can see in (8). In my report, I will describe in more details the semantic interaction between Attenuative and each of telicizers and offer an analysis of Attenuative Hopping within the framework of the first phase syntax [Ramchand 2008].

References.

Baker 1985 — Baker M. The mirror principle and morphosyntactic explanation //Linguistic inquiry. -1985. – T. 16. – N₂. 3. – C. 373-415.

Bradley 2016 — Bradley J. *Mari Converb Constructions: Productivity and regional variance*. Doctoral Thesis. Universität Wien, Wien, 2016.

Makarchuk 2018 — Makarchuk I. V. Glagol'ny attenuativ v gornomarijskom yazyke: Diplomnaya rabota bakalvra / MGU. 2018. [Makarchuk I. V. Verbal Attenuative in Hill Mari: BA Theses / MSU, 2018.]

Ramchand 2008 — Ramchand G. C. Verb meaning and the lexicon: A first phase syntax. – Cambridge University Press, 2008. – T. 116.